YANQUI NO! CASTRO NO! CUBA SI!

by a Cuban socialist--Sergio Junco

Young Peoples Socialist League

YOUNG PEOPLES SOCIALIST LEAGUE

Yaqui, No! Ostro, No! Oba, Si!

> Sergio Junco, assisted by Nick Howard

National Education Committee Second in a Series May 1, 1962 yanqui no!
castro no!
cuba si!

A great deal of debate has been taking place concerning the nature and development of present Guban society; not surprisingly, a number of half-truths have been used by bet the capitalist attackers of Castro and by the defenders of the Cuban regime, particularly those defenders f the regime who are followers of the Russian bureaucratic line. of the best known polemics concerning Cuba is the one centred on Theodore Draper's article "Castro's Cubs', which appeared in the March 1961 edition of the Britist magazine Encounter /and as a supplement to the New Leader of March 27, 1961, here in the U.S. --ed./. Draper put grat emphasis on the fact that the revolution against Batista was originally a "bourgeois" revolution and that Castro "betrayed" such a movement. We hear from the pro-Castr side completely opposite arguments; eg, Paul Sweezy, edito of Monthly Review, affirm's that this revolution was actually realized by the landless agricultural proletariat rater then the Cuban bourgeoisie. The truth is that these alti lacking a careful chronological knowledge of the develor of the Cuban revolution, are not able to determine the ure of this movement since its beginning.

Just after Batista took power in 1952, most of the Cubar bourgeoisie, which was much more important than many people tend to think, expected that Batista would arrive at some kind of political compromise and re-establish Cuat some kind of political compromise and re-establish Cuba's constitutional government which had a "tradition" of ba's constitutional government which had a "tradition" of twelve years in the country. Only the student community, with a few adherents from other sections of Cuban society, envisaged the possibility and desirability of violent action against the de facto government in order to re-establish the 1940 Constitution, which may be considered to be relatively advanced, especially if we take into account that it was established in a non-revolutionary manner.

Perhaps the best example of the attitude of the Cuban bourgeoisie is shown in the role of the Sociedad de Amigos de la Republica (SAR--Society of the Friends of the Republic), led by the late Colonel Cosme de la Torriente, a veteran of the War of Independence, and José Miró Cardona, former head of the National Association of Cuban Lawyers, as the political body comprising all of those groups which thought that a peaceful solution of the "Cuban situation" was possible. The 26th of July Movement founded in Mexico in 1955 refused to co-sponsor most of the purely conciliatory activities of the SAR. The Cuban Communist Party (PS was not invited to join the organization, although the Com munists at that time maintained a position which was close to the SAR than to the 26th of July Movement. Only the brutality of Batista's armed forces drove the SAR, and mos of the Cuban bourgeoisie with it, into the camp of Castro' movement.

At the same time, Castro actually abandoned most of his radical social and economic proposals as they had been expressed in 1953 in the now famous speech History Will Absolve Me; for instance, in an interview given in the Sierra Maestra to Coronet (February 1958), Castro explicitly eliminated nationalization of foreign and Cuban firms as being an undesirable measure for the growth of the economy of the country. This softening of Castro's so cial and economic radicalism, but without any softening of his anti-Batista radicalism, made possible the political wedding of the more orthodox bourgeois community and the highly unorthodex student and intellectual community which had always given strong support to Castro and his movement and to the smaller but militant Revolutionary Directorate.

Just after the political wedding Castro came into contact with a limited section of the Cuban peasantry (only 60,000 lived in the Sierra; a good part of them being sharecroppers and yeoman farmers and not agricultural proletarians as Paul Sweezy would have it). There are extensive sugar lands not far from the Sierra Maestra, but there are also a great number of peasants working in relatively small coffee plantations at lumbering for the Cuban wood industry (lumber tycoon Babun was very much hurt by the fighting in the Sierra Maestra). It is true that the help of the peasants slightly increased the declining social and economic radicalism of the 26th July Movement in the mountains, but it is none the less true that when Dr. Humberto Sori Marin (who has already been executed), as Castro's Attorney General in the Sierra Maestra, drafted the first Agarian Reform project on October loth 1958, the new law was written in such mild and ambiguous terms that it was entirely acceptable to the Cuban bourgeoisie and even to the Chicago Tribune's correspondent Jules Dubois; and this happened less than three months before the overthrow of Batista's government. By this time, Castro's movement enjoyed the universal sympathy and passive support of practically all the Cuban people due to the brutality of Batista's thugs. The Rebel Army had, in December 1958, close to 8000 peasnats in its ranks (almost exclusively from Oriente province) and was led mostly by student officers and a handful of peasant leaders like - : " Crescencio Perez, who is now a political nonenty in Cuba. the cities the movement was much mor middle-class although at this stage there were numbers of the more active workers in its ranks.

Although this description would seem to justify, at least partically, Draper's later claim that Castro's "betrayed" the bourgeoisie, the writers do not think so at all, Castro created a revolution from above and "betrayed" nobody since there never existed a real mass movement of any particular class actively struggling for its own interests. There was both to passive support and passive submission to Castro on the part of all the calsess of the population always with the hope that the leader was actually on their side of the fence. Theodore Draper seems to think that a revolution is a contract signed between a leader and a certain social class, specifically a minority class like the bourgeoisie, and that the revolution can never change or modify itself in the process of strugle. Draper's point of the minimum is in reality as undemocratic and manipulated ad the one mintained by the pro-Russian and other apologists of the

present Castro recime. te affirms the right of a minority present the Guban bourgeoisie, to control the destinies of the Guban people while the others affirm the right of a sol appointed elite to create and perpetuate a totalitarian state in Cura. The most democratic and revolutionary procass would have been for the 26th of July Movement to have tried to initiale and help to maintain a true mass porty of the revolution consisting of peasants, workers, and student in order to strive, for example, for the establishment of a iemocratic socialist society in Cuba. What happened in rea lity was that the 26th of July disappeared as a living political organization and the PSP was left as the only organized force on the Cuban political scene. Both Draper and the Castro: tes implicitly maintain that an elite, and not the majority of the people, have the right to decide what kind of a society there should be in Cuba, the difference being that Traper wants Castro to keep his word and have a society based on bourgeois privilege in Cuba while the present Castro: tes do not want Castro to keep his word, and that insteat he should create a bureaucratic collectivist society there. In any case, the people do not have a chanc to mould an: change the revolutionary process in whatever fashion the desire. Socialists maintain that regardless of what Castro might have promised to the bourgeoisie or th. PSP, the people have a right to convert a bourgeois revolution, after the overthrow of Batista and his army, into a socialist or any other revolution which will fight for their social, economic and political rights at the same time. Whather that attempt would have completely succeeded, given the present state of the world, is a matter which deserves serious thought but which is not relevant to the matter being discussed here, that is, whether the majority of the people can "betray" an elite, a leader, or a minority class like the bourgeoisie, or whether a small revolutionary elite can "betray" any class which it never real! represented.

What we have said so far is of the utmost importance in providing an answer to one of the most basic questions in the analysis of a society: "Who owns and controls the Cuban state?" The numerous apologists for Castro talk aloughers state", a "peasants' and workers' state". The numerous apologists for Castro talk aloughers state", a "peasants' and workers' state". Three main arguments evan a "degenerated workers' state". Three main arguments are given in order to make it appear that Castro sould as a posibilist, popular state. First, they says Gletto goes to the people whenever a docision has to be made.

condly, the people are armed. To the first argument we may easily answer that Gastno goes to a certain part of the people after some decisions are made. A naif-willion proptin a public square is just 20 per cent of the adult population of Cuba and even within these meetings nob dy is allowed to present a point of view opposite or merely slightly different from that of Castro and his associates fore it is entirely foolish to present this as an example of "a higher form of democracy" If this were so, then Mussolini and Co. would have to be counted as "Michee democrats." To the second argument it should be asset not a single militia unit has ever met in Cuba to sense questions of important "public policy", unless we are willing to describe as "important public policy" when the militia should drill in the mornings rather than a the afternoons. The milities, at the beginning, were voluntary organizations, but this now belongs to the past Countless factory workers have been coerced to juice the units lest they lose their jobs or cannot accuire the Militia unites are now increasingly being organized purely geographical basis instead of at the place. so they will be completely detached from the labour than some of which used to have a tradition of deliberation decision making. The third argument rests on the supposed nationalization of industry and agriculture under workers control. Nationalization has in fact been carried out but workers' control is a joke.

The administrators of the Revolution slaim that in fact workers' control does exist and it must be reported that many Cuban factory workers in the flush or revolutionary zeal consequent upon their recent release from a system of capitalist boss domination, insecurity and capitalist thuggery, also think the same. They are assisted in this view, by a political propaganda machine that educates them to believe theirs to be a workers' government administering their factories. For more direct evidence they refer to the recently created Factory Reclamation Commissions. The workers have the right to elect seven delegates to these commissions but from these seven the Ministry of Labour has the final choice of three, two to represent the workers and one to represent the Ministry. This last delegate, significantly enough, also represents the workers' union. In addition the management of the factory also has two delegates on the commission. The Commission of Reclamation, which discusses hiring and firing conditions of work now hours ract

iods and prizes or productivity thus comprises a triad wit the workers indirect representatives outnumbered two to one, since the management is appointed and employed by the state.

Similarly, he so-called "Technical Advisory Councils in Cuban factoria; "have been ignored by the state administrators (of inductry) and by the great part of the official union bureaucrac. So that even this timid attempt at democracy and work recontrol has not been made effective." (quoted from Rept. ton Cuba, page 20, July 1961, published by Cornucopia, a group active in the Fair Play for Cuba Committee, USA). In the countryside the government has decided to implement new state farms rather than cooperatives in the latter these was some limited degree of control by the farmers themselves, which seems to be a mortal sin to the "wise guys" who form the new ruling elite group in Cuba. If Cuba is a popular state, where are the political vehicles through which the people exercise their control?

There is neither a lower nor a higher form of democractin Cuba, but just another repetition of the old story of government by an elite which uses coercion, persuasions and propaganda to suit its own purposes. It is amazing to see how certain authors who have been very perceptive observer of the distribution of power in capitalist countries go to Cuba and forget to use precisely this powerful instrument of social analysis. Or is it perhaps that only capitalist societies are governed by a power elite? It is not very difficult to describe the main sections of the Cuban ruling elite at the present moment; it is the not atypical combination of declasse petty-bourgeois intellectuals and the leadership of the old PSP which itself is mostly composed of intellectuals with a few trade union bureaucrats like Laza



ro Pena and Blas Roca (Francisco Calderio). The First group in particular, had always shows great enthulasm for any type of authoritarian regime thich exercised its power in the name of the people. For unitable, many of the present supporters of Castro warmly defended Peron's opportunistic regime even when it became very twicus that the latter was anything but a revolutionary regime.

The PSP was able to maintain a celatively clean record in some unions due to their efficiency in tealing with "bread-and-butter" issues during previous rigimes. Of course, this relative efficiency was more than offset by their political opportunism, especially in the decade 1940-1950 when they supported reactionary politicians "in order to maintain national unity in the fact of Farcist agression and Trotskyite subversion." Nobody in Cuba forcets their treasonable support of Batista when the latter had already murdered anti-imperialist fighters like Anton o Suiteras and Sandalio Junco during his first era in power 1933-44) There is one thing in particular which is ver common to both groups; that is their conception of how ublic power should be exercized: behind and upon the backs of the people, by manipulation of people's fears rather tran by their active popular participation or their consent. In example of manipulation of people's fears is contained in the appeals of the Cuban radio stations, which can be easily heard in many parts of the USA, "to form 'Committees for the Do-fence of the Revolution' (read 'informers' committees') in every city block and in every other factory." In committee which might have a function in dealing with those regative characters like the remaining Batistianos who stil move around in Cuba and who have no compunction in kiling or maiming the innocent, nevertheless indicate a ver dangerous feature in Cuban society. Membership of the :ommittees is very small, rarely more than twelve per city bock and their functions are described in a speech of Fide. Castro's reported in an article by Cesar Escalante in Cups Socialista of September, 1961 (Vol. 1, p. 70): "Let us es iblish beainst the campaign of imperialism a system of callective revolutionary vigilance, in which everyone knows the everyone is, what each person who lives in the block doe: , what reistions he had with the tyranny, to what he is edicated. who he meets and what activities he follows." I e same or licie stressed that the committees should be formed and dirested solely by the Integrated Revolutionary Organizations (see helow). A consequence of this speech and Esca ante's

article might be noted in a later speech of Castro's to the bank workers quoted in the Colombian newspaper El Tiempo on the 4th of October, 1961 (AFP). He is critically cited, in a sweeping out investigations of persons and families that do nothing but sow disruption and fear among Cubans."

One common argument used to defend Castroism is that whatever the regime has done wrong is merely the result and reaction to the pressure of American imperialism . Of course it would be naive to deny that this latter factor has contributed to a worsening of the situation, but it has been only a partial cause of all the suppression which has occured. For instance, even before Eisenhower took the first important neasure against Castro's Cuba - the cut in the sugar quota - freedom of the press and academic freedom, among other freedoms, had already been suppressed in Cuba. This was not done because there existed a "clear and present danger" to revolutionary institut. ions in Cuba, but it was just a coldblooded act on the part of ... Castro and his associates in the Communist controlled CNP (Newspapermen's Union) and in FEU (University Students' Federation). The bourgeoise press, at the time of seizures, did not have more than a third of the newspaper circulation in Havana and not more than 10 per cent of the total circulation in small and middle class size provincial towns (May 1960). This was due to the fact that the Castro regime had at its dispcsal all the newspapers which had been previously owned by followers of Batista. The bourgeois press cultivated a rather timid style since it was also afraid of spontaneous popular sanctions. Since then, even those newspapers that had a position of "critical support" of the regime have been also suppressed, the latest case behind the Trotskyite newspaper Voz Proletaria (Proletarian Voice) which had a very small circulation. To this, we should add that all radio and television stations are also government controlled and that it is not possible to find anti-communist books such as THE NEW CLASS in any bookstore in the country, some thing which was possible some nine months ago.

It would be unfair to deny that sectors of the Cuban population have derived material benefits from the present government, especially the agricultural proletariat which although deprived of any political rights in the state farms, has in many instances improved its standard of living. The urban workers in the cities, and there are quite

a few of them in Cuba, have achieved leaser cains and in some instances have actually lost some of their rights acguired before Batista's regime. Corruntion sceme to be absent in the high government circles, but it is already common in the lower and middle levels of the but eaters. apparatus. There has certainly been an improvement in Tural health services. Unemployment in the cities remains a problem although there is evidence that it has slightly decrease in the interior of the island. The Literacy Campaign should of course be continued, although a change in the reading contents is indispensable so that all unscrupulous indoctrination may cease. It should also be admitted that the government has done much good in the fight against racial discripination which, fortunately, was never very pronounced in Cuba. Any constructive revolutionary opposition to Castroism should acknowledge these gains and quarantee not only their permanence but also their advancement. In reconse thes however, should not imply at all that here we have due to question of balancing the "plusses" of economic gains agains the "minusses" of political suppression. tomy of political and economic freedom, us being two different things, was a result of Nineteen the tentury liberalise which nowadays any socialist or intelligent liberal would reject right away. In the not very long run, economic gains under political absolutism do not mean much since economic life is also subjected to that absolution.

The same happens, of course, when we have so called political democracy under economic oppression be it numan stated to a service or wage slavery); it does not take very long concentrations of economic power to exert themselves in the concentrations of economic power to exert themselves in the concentrations of economic power to exert themselves in the concentrations of economic power to exert themselves in the concentration of a weak ground upon which to base a defence of the concentration which have been done wrong here, and there, but are something infinitely more important: that is, the creation of a whole new society based on the values and ethics, are lack of them, of a totalitarian system which soon will exert itself in a rigid class system as it has already happened in other societies which have previously gone through the same or similar political processes.

It is certain that Castro still enjoys the support of important sections of the Cuban population, though not as much support as his apologists usually glaim. In that case the duty of socialists in Cuba should be to increase the hiring and firing conditions of wark.

egime and show them there is a way out from the phony diemma of either capitalist privilege and exploitation or
entalitarian exploitation and privilege. Now, more than
ever before, there is a great opportunity for the Cuban
exploited for a truly progressive society since
either the USA nor the USSR are in a position any more to
est away with whatevery they want in the world.

Many well intentioned people acknowledge the totaliarianism of the Cuban government but still argue that sinc here are no present organized alternatives which would reresent an advancement of the social revolution, then the stro regime must be given "critical support". To begin th, this latter position can comfortably be taken outside ba, but not inside the country, since Castrol himself has fined the situation as being one in which you are either rr him or against him. Therefore, Castro himself, backed all the Cuban state apparatus, rules out any such posion of "critical support" inside Cuba. The fact that no goanized socialist alternatives seem to exist at the preent moment means that they should be created and encouraed whenever they appear, but in the meantime no support rould be given to a totalitarian regime which is not proessive since it increases the alienation of Cuban society d denies progressive human values in its political reession. Outside "critical support" would objectively elp Castro precisely in the task of suppressing all oppotion including any positive political alternative which y originate in the country.

The Cuban regime has ceased to be fluid, and any hope reforming the regime from within have been eliminated by

vailable from the YPSL and the SP-SDF:

The Case for Socialized Medicine; printed, 35¢
The American Ultras; printed, a study of the right,
Capitalism: A Study Guide; 25¢
Crisis in the German Social Democracy; 15¢
Communist China; 15¢
Towards Peace
Directions of the YPSL
Civil Rights: 1962 on peace and civil rights; from the YPSL; on the Youth Festival; from the YPSL; on the Youth Festival;

the regime's actions in stamping out the least disconnictions which might arise spontaneously from below is quite naive to expect that an elite which have monopoly of control will give it up of its own from without the pressure of any opposition, and that it is and his associates will suddenly acknowledge their takes and spontaneously give up any basic power. Constantly the only progressive alternative left open is to Cuban regime from a socialist s and point complete the ted from any of the present reactionary groups who opposing Castro with imperialist support.

The culmination of the process of consolidate the reaucratic collectivism in Cuba has been the creation of the Integrated Revolutionary Organizations as the base the single part of the state. The IRO's, which alread regional, municipal and state lovel, consist forms union of the PSP, the 26th of July movement, and the evelutionary Directorate. Organizationally, these groups disintegrated in the first months of the contract of and have never re-formed since. This is just a rest in of what happened with the creation of the association Young Rebels, which is the organization that were ban youth organizations, into which the youth see the the PSP "dissolved" itself and, not surprising the trols. Some supporters of the regime point ou that there are some differences of opinion within ernment party itself concerning such issues as who should be oriented towards "socialist realist" freedom should be given to the artists. This is that these differences of opinion do occur, all server the present party and government in Cuba complete as to what the general content of Cuban society a the w they may disagree in details. They are in complete and ement particularly in regard to this most imported to that any popular control and decision making in uban ciety is out of the question entirely. It is wer revising that the discussions concerning the issue of "social"s real lism" in art have been conducted within the ruli q e itself without any attempt on their part to fine what her less fortunate Cubans may have to say about it, specilly those artists who do not happen to be favoured is goverment circles.

It is also argued that the Cuban regime is not a satellite of the Soviet Union and that this in tself is the regime "progressive". The first part of the state to

iring and firing conditions at work may pour

the Castle regime is a relatively independent to talitation government although not as independent as lite a regime, for instance. But, certainly, that does not make regime, regime or Castro's regime progressive since their basis of strength lies, as we have already seen, in the negation of progressive human values.

Finally, there is also the argument which says that since Cuba is an "under-developed country" the people there are not ready to rule themselves, and that it is the duty and privilege of the "wise guys" to rule the country by themselves. Even if it were true that these peoples are not ready for self-government, which it is not, then the only way to create democratic habits is precisely by introducing more self-government so the people may educate themselves by doing and not by merely contemplating the work of an elite. It is necessary to fight the creeping intellectual prejudice which assumes that academic learning confers a right of manipulation and disposal of those who have been too busy creating with their own labour those riches of society which precisely allow for the existence of a leisured intellectual elite. In addition to all this, it should be made clear that Cuba is one of the less underdeveloped countries among the "have-not" group of nations, with no more than 35 or 40 per cent illiteracy, 36 per cent of the population living in cities of more than 20000 inhabitants and 53 per cent living in towns of more than 5000 inhabitants, according to the last Cuban Census of Population (1953). Cuba also has the fourth largest per-capita income in Latin America, and this continent as a whole has a higher income than either Asia or Africa. There is no language or tribal problem in Cuba, such problems having traditionally been obstacles to self-government in other under-developed countries.

It is quite revealing that in this context many socalled progressive thinkers have come to use the same arguments put forth usually by the defenders of Imperialism and Colonialism. It is likely that Rudyard Kipling would have been very glad to write another poem eulogizing the "Elite's burden" instead of the already outmoded "white man's burden."

-- Sergio Junco and Nick Howard

50% THE YOUNG PEOPLES SOCIALIST LEAGUE is a democratic socialist youth organization dedicated to building a society at the service of human needs. It believes that a great step for the realization of the potential of mankind can be made thru the social ownership and democratic control of the means of production and distribution. Consequently, it rejects all conceptions of minority social rule, such as capitalism or Communism, and believes that the voluntary, conscious participation of the people is the only way to the society it seeks. There are many different viewpoints within the YPSL, but this basic conception or democratic socialism is common to all of them and is the basis of YPSL membership. Here and now, the YPSL attempts to translate these principle into reality by actively engaging in ell democratic movements of the people for peace, freedom and human dignity.

SERGIO JUNCO is the pseudonym of a Cuban socialist student now living in Europe. Nicholas Howard was an uninvited visitor to Cuba for five weeks in August and September 1961. As an informal delegate of the National Association of Labour Students' Organizations /British/ he worked his way to Havana and back relying on eight years' experience in the Merchant Navy. Their article is reprinted from the Winter, 1961 (#7) issue of International Socialism, 47 Fitzroy Road, London NWI, England

THE NATIONAL EDUCATION COMMITTEE of the YPSL attempts to contribute to the political education of the members and friends of the YPSL. To this end it publishes material in general consonance with the aims of the organization, though in detailed points the material is quite likely to differ from the official viewpoint of the organization. Unless otherwise indicated the presentations do not reflect the official standpoint of the YPSL, only that of the author. Suggestions for further pamphlets are welcome.

YOUNG PEOPLES SOCIALIST LEAGUE, youth section,	
Socialist PartySocial Democratic Federation	
Room 402, 1182 Broadway; New York City; LE 2-9192	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The second secon	
I would like to join the YPSL (the SP-SDF)	
Please send me more information on democratic s	socialism.
NameAge School	
Address	and the same full to be a facility than the same of the same to be a facility to to be a f
	5/1/62-1M